

Newton flows for elliptic functions III

Classification of 3rd order Newton graphs

G.F. Helminck,
Korteweg-de Vries Institute
University of Amsterdam
P.O. Box 94248
1090 GE Amsterdam
The Netherlands
e-mail: g.f.helminck@uva.nl

F. Twilt,
Department of Applied Mathematics
University of Twente
P.O. Box 217, 7500 AE Enschede
The Netherlands
e-mail: f.twilt@kpnmail.nl

September 7, 2016

Abstract

A Newton graph of order $r(\geq 2)$ is a cellularly embedded toroidal graph on r vertices, $2r$ edges and r faces that fulfils certain combinatorial properties (Euler, Hall). The significance of these graphs relies on their role in the study of *structurally stable elliptic Newton flows* - say $\overline{N}(f)$ - of order r , i.e. desingularized continuous versions of Newton's iteration method for finding zeros for an *elliptic* function f (of order r). In previous work we established a representation of these flows in terms of Newton graphs. The present paper results into the classification of all 3rd order Newton graphs, implying a list of all nine possible 3rd order flows $\overline{N}(f)$ (up to conjugacy and duality).

Subject classification: 05C45, 05C75, 33E05, 34D30, 37C15, 37C20, 37C70, 49M15.

Keywords: Dynamical system, desingularized (elliptic) Newton flow, phase portrait, structural stability, elliptic function, Newton graph (elliptic), cellularly embedded toroidal graph, face traversal procedure, Angle property, Euler property, Hall condition.

1 Motivation

In order to clarify the context of the present paper, we recapitulate some earlier results.

1.1 Structurally stable elliptic Newton flows

In this section, f is always a non-constant elliptic function of order $r(\geq 2)$ on a torus T .

The elliptic Newton flow $\overline{\overline{N}}(f)$ of order r is a C^1 -vector field on T , defined - on each chart of T - as a *desingularized* version (cf. [1]) of the planar dynamical system¹ given by:

$$\frac{dz}{dt} = \frac{-f(z)}{f'(z)}, z \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (1)$$

$\overline{\overline{N}}(f)$ is called *structurally stable* if the phase portrait of $\overline{\overline{N}}(f)$ is topologically invariant under small bifurcations of the zeros and poles for f .

Structural stability is characterized by the following conditions : ([1] , [5])

- All zeros, poles and critical points for f are simple.
- No two critical points for f are connected by a $\overline{\overline{N}}(f)$ -trajectory.

In particular, a structurally stable $\overline{\overline{N}}(f)$ exhibits precisely r attractors / repellers and precisely $2r$ different orthogonal saddles (the zeros / poles resp. critical points for f).

If σ is such saddle, the two (un-)stable separatrices together with σ form its (un-)stable manifold.

Note : If $\overline{\overline{N}}(f)$ is structurally stable, then also $\overline{\overline{N}}(\frac{1}{f})$, because $\overline{\overline{N}}(\frac{1}{f}) = -\overline{\overline{N}}(f)$. [**duality**]

1.2 The graphs $\mathcal{G}(f)$ and $\mathcal{G}^*(f)$

For a structurally stable $\overline{\overline{N}}(f)$ the connected (multi) graph² $\mathcal{G}(f)$ on T is defined by:

- *vertices* are the r zeros for f ;
- *edges* are the $2r$ unstable manifolds at the $2r$ critical points for f ;
- *faces* are the r basins of repulsion of the poles for f .

Similarly, we define the toroidal graph $\mathcal{G}^*(f)$ on the *repellers*, the *stable manifolds* and *basins of attraction* for $\overline{\overline{N}}(f)$. Apparently, $\mathcal{G}^*(f)$ is the *geometrical dual* of $\mathcal{G}(f)$; see Fig.1.

The following features of $\mathcal{G}(f)$ are used in the sequel:

- $\mathcal{G}(f)$ is **cellularly embedded**, i.e., each face is homeomorphic to an open $\mathbb{R}^2$ -disk;
- all (anti-clockwise measured) angles at an attractor in the boundary of a face that span a sector of this face, are strictly positive and sum up to 2π ; [**A-property**]
- the boundary of each $\mathcal{G}(f)$ -face - as subgraph of $\mathcal{G}(f)$ - is *Eulerian*, i.e., admits a closed facial walk that traverses each edge only once and goes through all vertices [**E-property**].

The anti-clockwise permutation on the embedded edges at vertices of $\mathcal{G}(f)$ induces a clockwise orientation of the facial walks on the boundaries of the $\mathcal{G}(f)$ -faces; see Fig. 1. On its turn, the clockwise orientation of $\mathcal{G}(f)$ -faces gives rise to a clockwise permutation on the embedded edges at $\mathcal{G}^*(f)$ -vertices, and thus to an anti-clockwise orientation of $\mathcal{G}^*(f)$. In

¹ In fact, we consider the system $\frac{dz}{dt} = -(1 + |f(z)|^4)^{-1} |f'(z)|^2 \frac{f(z)}{f'(z)}$: a continuous version of Newton's damped iteration method for finding zeros for f .

² The graph $\mathcal{G}(f)$ has no loops, because the zeros for f are simple; multiple edges are possible. The connectedness of $\mathcal{G}(f)$ is a consequence of Euler's formula for toroidal graphs and the cellularity of $\mathcal{G}(f)$.

the sequel, all graphs of the type $\mathcal{G}(f)$, $\mathcal{G}^*(f)$, are always oriented in this way, see Fig. 1. Hence, by duality,

$$\mathcal{G}^*(f) = -\mathcal{G}\left(\frac{1}{f}\right). \quad (2)$$

It follows that also $\mathcal{G}^*(f)$ is *cellularly embedded* and fulfils the *E-* and *A-property*




Figure 1: The oriented graphs $\mathcal{G}(f)$ and $\mathcal{G}^*(f)$

1.3 Newton graphs

A connected multigraph $\mathcal{G}$ in T with r vertices and $2r$ edges is called *Newton graph of order r* if this graph is cellularly embedded and moreover, the *A-property*³ as well as the *E-property* holds⁴.

It can be proved (cf. [2], [3]) that the dual $\mathcal{G}^*$ of a Newton graph $\mathcal{G}$ is also *Newtonian* (of order r). The anti-clockwise (clockwise) permutations on the edges of $\mathcal{G}$ at its vertices endow a clockwise (anti-clockwise) orientation of the $\mathcal{G}$ -faces and, successively, an anti-clockwise (clockwise) orientation of the $\mathcal{G}^*$ -faces: (compare Fig.1).

Apparently, $\mathcal{G}(f)$ and $\mathcal{G}^*(f)$ are Newton graphs.

The main results, obtained in [2], are:

-If $\overline{\mathcal{N}}(f)$ and $\overline{\mathcal{N}}(h)$ are structurally stable and of the same order, then:

$$\overline{\mathcal{N}}(f) \sim \overline{\mathcal{N}}(h) \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{G}(f) \sim \mathcal{G}(h) \text{ [classification]} \quad (3)$$

-Given a clockwise oriented Newton graph $\mathcal{G}$ of order r , there exists a structurally stable Newton flow $\overline{\mathcal{N}}(f_{\mathcal{G}})$ such that $\mathcal{G}(f_{\mathcal{G}}) \sim \mathcal{G}$ and thus: (if $\mathcal{H}$ is another Newton graph of order r)

$$\overline{\mathcal{N}}(f_{\mathcal{G}}) \sim \overline{\mathcal{N}}(f_{\mathcal{H}}) \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{G} \sim \mathcal{H} \text{ [representation]} \quad (4)$$

Here, $\sim$ between flows stands for conjugacy⁵, and $\sim$ between graphs for equivalency⁶

Remark 1.1. In the present study, conjugate flows are considered as equal. From (2), (3) it follows that $\overline{\mathcal{N}}(f)$ and its dual version $\overline{\mathcal{N}}(\frac{1}{f})$ are only conjugate⁷ iff $\mathcal{G}(f) \sim -\mathcal{G}^*(f)$.

³ possibly under a suitable local redrawing.

⁴ Euler's formula for toroidal graphs, together with the connectedness and cellularity of $\mathcal{G}$ yields: $\mathcal{G}$ has r faces.

⁵ Two flows on T are conjugate if an homeomorphism from T onto itself exists mapping the phase portrait of one flow onto that of the other, thereby respecting the orientations of the trajectories.

⁶ i.e., an orientation preserving isomorphism.

⁷ If $\overline{\mathcal{N}}(f) \sim \overline{\mathcal{N}}(\frac{1}{f})$, and thus $\mathcal{G}(f) \sim \mathcal{G}(\frac{1}{f})$, we call the flow $\overline{\mathcal{N}}(f)$ and also the graph $\mathcal{G}(f)$ *self-dual*. More general: $\mathcal{G}$ is called self-dual if $\mathcal{G} \sim -\mathcal{G}^*$.

However it is reasonable to regard also these flows - being related by a trivial (merely the reversion of the orientations of the trajectories) identity - as "equal"; cf. Theorem 2.2.

1.4 The Hall condition

Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a cellularly embedded graph of order r in T , not necessarily Newtonian. There is a simple criterion available for $\mathcal{G}$ to fulfil the *A-property*. In order to formulate this criterion, we denote the vertices and faces of $\mathcal{G}$ by v_i and F_j respectively, $i, j = 1, \dots, r$. Now, let J be a subset of $\{1, \dots, r\}$ and denote the subgraph of $\mathcal{G}$, generated by all vertices and edges incident with the faces $F_j, j \in J$, by $\mathcal{G}(J)$. The set of all vertices in $\mathcal{G}(J)$ is denoted by $V(\mathcal{G}(J))$. Then: (cf. [2])

$$\mathcal{G} \text{ has the } A\text{-property} \Leftrightarrow |J| < |V(\mathcal{G}(J))| \text{ for all } J, \emptyset \neq J \subsetneq \{1, \dots, r\}, \text{ [Hall condition]}$$

where $|\cdot|$ stands as usual for cardinality.

With the aid of the *Hall condition* we proved:

Let $\mathcal{G}$ be of order $r = 2$ or 3 , then: If $r = 2$, the *A-property* always holds, whereas in Case $r = 3$ the *E-property* implies the *A-property*

So, to classify Newton graphs of order $r = 2, 3$, only the *E-property* (not the *A-property*) matters.

1.5 Rotation system; the face traversal procedure

Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a toroidal graph as in Subsection 1.3 and consider the *rotation system* Π for $\mathcal{G}$:

$$\Pi = \{\pi_v \mid \text{all vertices } v \text{ in } \mathcal{G}\},$$

where the *local rotation system* π_v at v is the cyclic permutation of the edges incident with v such that $\pi_v(e)$ is the successor of e in the anti-clockwise ordering around v .

If $e = (v'v'')$ stands for an edge, with end vertices v' and v'' , we define a Π -walk (*facial walk*), say w , on $\mathcal{G}$ as follows: [**face traversal procedure**]

Consider an edge $e_1 = (v_1v_2)$ and the closed walk $w = v_1e_1v_2e_2v_3 \cdots v_\ell e_\ell v_1$, which is determined by the requirement that, for $i = 1, \dots, \ell$, we have $\pi_{v_{i+1}}(e_i) = e_{i+1}$, where $e_{\ell+1} = e_1$ and ℓ is minimal⁸

Each edge occurs either once in two different Π -walks, or twice (with opposite orientations) in only one Π -walk. $\mathcal{G}$ has the *E-property* iff the first possibility holds for all Π -walks. The dual $\mathcal{G}^*$ admits a loop⁹ iff the second possibility occurs at least in one of the Π -walks. The following observation will be referred to in the sequel:

Under the *E-property* for $\mathcal{G}$, each $\mathcal{G}$ -edge is adjacent to different faces; in fact, any $\mathcal{G}$ -edge, say e , determines precisely one $\mathcal{G}^*$ -edge e^* (and vice versa) so that there are $2r$ intersections $s = (e, e^*)$ of $\mathcal{G}$ - and $\mathcal{G}^*$ -vertices.

By the *Heffter-Edmonds-Ringel rotation principle*, the graph $\mathcal{G}$ is uniquely determined up to an equivalency (i.e., orientation preserving isomorphism) by its rotation system (cf. [4]). In

⁸Apparently, such "minimal" ℓ exists since $\mathcal{G}$ is finite. In fact, the first edge which is repeated in the same direction when traversing w , is e_1 (cf. [4]).

⁹Note that by assumption $\mathcal{G}$ has no loops.

fact, consider for each Π -walk w of length l , a so-called Π -polygon in the plane with l sides labelled by the edges of w , so that each polygon is disjoint from the other polygons. These polygons can be used to construct (patching them along identically labelled sides) an orientable surface S and in S a 2-cell embedded graph $\mathcal{H}$ with faces determined by the polygons. Then S is homeomorphic to T and $\mathcal{H}$ isomorphic with $\mathcal{G}$.

The clockwise oriented Π -walks of $\mathcal{G}$ determine a clockwise rotation system Π^* for $\mathcal{G}^*$ that - by the face traversal procedure - leads to anti-clockwise oriented Π^* -walks for $\mathcal{G}^*$. Occasionally, $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}^*$ will be referred to as to the pair $(\mathcal{G}, \Pi)$ resp. $(\mathcal{G}^*, \Pi^*)$.

2 Classification of Newton graphs of order 3

Let $\mathcal{G}(= (\mathcal{G}, \Pi))$ be an arbitrary Newton graph of order r , and $\mathcal{G}^*(= (\mathcal{G}^*, \Pi^*))$ a geometrical dual of $\mathcal{G}$. The graph $\mathcal{G}^*$ is also a Newton graph of order r , see Subsection 1.3. The vertices and faces of $\mathcal{G}$ are denoted by v_i , respectively by F_{r+i} . The $\mathcal{G}^*$ -vertex “located” in F_{r+i} is denoted by v_{r+i}^* , and the $\mathcal{G}^*$ -face that “contains” v_i by F_i^* , $i = 1, \dots, r$. In forthcoming figures, the vertices $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}^*$ will be indicated by their indices in combination with the symbols $\circ$ and $\bullet$ respectively, i.e. $v_i \leftrightarrow \circ_i$, and $v_{r+i}^* \leftrightarrow \bullet_{r+i}$. This induces an indexation of the faces of $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}^*$ as follows: $F_{r+i} \leftrightarrow \bullet_{r+i}$ and $F_i^* \leftrightarrow \circ_i$.

The edges of $\mathcal{G}$ and the corresponding edges of $\mathcal{G}^*$ are e_k , resp. e_k^* , $k = 1, \dots, 2r$ (compare Subsection 1.5). The degrees of the $\mathcal{G}$ - and $\mathcal{G}^*$ -edges are denoted by $\delta_i = \deg(v_i)$, resp. $\delta_i^* = \deg(v_{r+i}^*)$. Put $\delta = (\delta(\mathcal{G})) = (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_r)$, $\delta^* = (\delta(\mathcal{G}^*)) = (\delta_1^*, \dots, \delta_r^*)$ and note that there holds $\delta(\mathcal{G}^*) = \delta^*(\mathcal{G})$ and $\delta^*(\mathcal{G}^*) = \delta(\mathcal{G})$.

We consider the “common refinement” $\mathcal{G} \wedge \mathcal{G}^*$ of $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}^*$. This graph¹⁰ has vertices on three levels:

Level 1: The vertices v_i of $\mathcal{G}$,

Level 2: The “intersections” s_k of the pairs (e_k, e_k^*) , compare Subsection 1.5,

Level 3: The vertices v_{r+i}^* of $\mathcal{G}^*$,

whereas each $\mathcal{G}$ -edge e_k (each $\mathcal{G}^*$ -edge e_k^*) is partitioned into two $\mathcal{G} \wedge \mathcal{G}^*$ -edges connecting $s_k = (e_k, e_k^*)$ with the end vertices of e_k (of e_k^*). Moreover, there are no $\mathcal{G} \wedge \mathcal{G}^*$ -connections between vertices on Level 1 and 3.

Lemma 2.1. *The following relations hold:*

$$1 < \delta_i \leq 2r, 1 < \delta_i^* \leq 2r, \sum_{i=1}^r \delta_i = \sum_{i=1}^r \delta_i^* = 4r.$$

and moreover, by construction of $\mathcal{G} \wedge \mathcal{G}^*$

No s_k is connected by two $\mathcal{G} \wedge \mathcal{G}^*$ -edges to the same v_i or the same v_{r+i}^* .

Proof. Since both $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}^*$ are Newtonian (Subsection 1.3), it follows by the *E-property* that these graphs do not admit loops (cf. Subsection 1.5), whereas the *A-property* ensures the non-existence of vertices for $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}^*$ of degree 1. $\square$

From now on, let $\mathcal{G}$ be a 3^{rd} order Newton graph. We adapt the notations: the $\mathcal{G}$ -edges will be denoted by a, b, c, d, e, f , and the corresponding $\mathcal{G}^*$ -edges by $a^*, b^*, c^*, d^*, e^*, f^*$. The $\mathcal{G} \wedge \mathcal{G}^*$ -vertices determined by $(a, a^*), (b, b^*), \dots$ are denoted by respectively $a, b, \dots$.

¹⁰The abstract, directed graph underlying $\mathcal{G} \wedge \mathcal{G}^*$ is denoted by $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{G})$, see also the forthcoming Fig.7 (ii), Fig.10(i). For the significance of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{G})$ within the theory of structurally stable dynamical systems, see the papers [2], [5].

Our aim is a complete classification (up to equivalency) of all graphs $\mathcal{G}$, where we use that, since $r = 3$, the *E-property* already implies that $\mathcal{G}$ is a Newton graph. (cf. Subsection 1.4)

We distinguish between the following three possibilities with respect to the boundaries (or Π -walks) of $\mathcal{G}$ -faces :

Case 1: The boundary of one of the $\mathcal{G}$ -faces, say ∂F_4 , has six edges, i.e. $\delta_4^* = 6$.

Case 2: The boundary of one of the $\mathcal{G}$ -faces, say ∂F_4 , has five edges, i.e. $\delta_4^* = 5$.

Case 3: **Each** boundary of the faces in $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}^*$ has four edges, i.e. $\delta = \delta^* = (4, 4, 4)$.

By Lemma 2.1 the Cases 1, 2 and 3 are mutually exclusive and cover all possibilities. First we should check whether there exist graphs $\mathcal{G}$ that fulfil the conditions in the above cases, and, even so, to what extent $\mathcal{G}$ is determined by these conditions.

Ad Case 1: Because of the *E-property*, and since $\mathcal{G}$ has *no loops*, it is necessary for the existence of $\mathcal{G}$ that the Π -walk w_{F_4} of a possible face F_4 fulfils one of the following conditions:

Subcase 1.1 : Traversing w_{F_4} once, each vertex appears precisely twice.

Subcase 1.2 : Traversing w_{F_4} once, there is one vertex (say v_1) appearing three times, one (say v_2) appearing twice, and one (say v_3) showing up only once.

The (*clockwise oriented*) " Π -polygon" for ∂F_4 has six sides, labelled $a, b, \dots, f$ and six "corner points", labelled by the vertices v_1, v_2, v_3 (repetitions necessary). Identifying points related to the same $\mathcal{G}$ -vertex, brings us back to w_{F_4} . Assume that the cyclic permutations of the edges in w_{F_4} that are incident with the same vertex are oriented *anti-clockwise* (compare the conventions in Subsection 1.3)

In Subcase 1.1 there are precisely two different -up to relabeling- possibilities for w_{F_4} according to the schemes: (see Fig. 2)

$$w_{F_4} : v_1 a v_2 b v_3 c v_1 d v_2 e v_3 f v_1 a v_2 \quad (5)$$

or

$$w_{F_4} : v_1 a v_2 b v_3 c v_2 d v_1 e v_3 f v_1 a v_2. \quad (6)$$

First, we focus on w_{F_4} given by Scheme (5), see Fig. 2(i). In the (*anti-clockwise*) cyclic permutation of the w_{F_4} -edges, incident with the same vertex, these edges occur in pairs, determining a (positively oriented) sector of F_4 . Two F_4 -sectors at the same v_i are separated by facial sectors (at v_i) *not* belonging to F_4 (cf. Fig. 2(i) and Subsection 1.5). Since, moreover, the graph we are looking for, admits altogether twelve facial sectors, the cyclic permutation of the edges at v_i are as indicated in Fig. 2(i) and constitute a rotation system that -upto equivalency and relabeling- determines the graph, say $\mathcal{G}$, uniquely.

With the aid of the rotation system in Fig. 2(i) and applying the *face traversal procedure*, as sketched in Subsection 1.5, we find the closed walks $v_2 a v_1 c v_3 e v_2 a v_1$ and $v_2 d v_1 f v_3 b v_2 d v_1$ defining the two other $\mathcal{G}$ -faces, say F_5 , resp. F_6 . (Note that each edge occurs twice in different walks, but with opposite orientation). Glueing together the facial polygons corresponding to F_4 , F_5 and F_6 , according to equally labeled sides and corner points, gives rise to the plane representations of $\mathcal{G}$ in Fig.3-(i).

From Fig.3-(i) it follows that the rotation system for $\mathcal{G}^*$ is as depicted in Fig. 4. With the aid of this figure we find, again by the *face traversal procedure*, the following closed subwalks in $\mathcal{G}^*$: $v_4^* a^* v_5^* c^* v_4^* d^* v_6^* f^* v_4^* a^*$, $v_4^* b^* v_6^* d^* v_4^* e^* v_5^* a^* v_4^* b^*$ and $v_4^* f^* v_6^* b^* v_4^* c^* v_5^* e^* v_4^* f^*$, defining the $\mathcal{G}^*$ -faces F_1^* , F_2^* , F_3^* respectively. (Note that each edge occurs twice in different walks, but with opposite orientation). Glueing together the facial polygons corresponding to these




Figure 2: The two possibilities for w_{F_4} in Subcase 1.1.




Figure 3: The two possible plane representations for $\mathcal{G}$, $\mathcal{G}^*$ in Subcase 1.1.

faces according to equally labeled sides and corner points, yields the plane representations of $\mathcal{G}^*$ in Fig.3-(i).

If we start from a Π -walk for F_4 , according to the Scheme (6), we find (by the same argumentation as above) plane representations for $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}^*$; see Fig.3-(ii).

Note that in all graphs in Fig.3 the anti-clockwise (clockwise) orientation of the cyclic permutations of edges incident with the same vertex induces a clockwise (anti-clockwise) orientation of the faces.




Figure 4: The rotation systems for $\mathcal{G}^*$, according to Scheme (5).

In Subcase 1.2 there is *precisely one* -up to relabeling- possibility for w_{F_4} according to the scheme:

$$w_{F_4} : v_1av_2bv_1cv_2dv_1ev_3fv_1a. \quad (7)$$

In this case however, there are *three* pairs of $\mathcal{G}$ -edges at v_1 determining (positively measured) sectors of F_4 . So, reasoning as in Subcase 1.1, there are two possibilities for the (anti clockwise) cyclic permutations of the $\mathcal{G}$ -edges at v_1 (and thus also two different rotation systems; see Fig. 5).





Figure 5: The two possible rotation systems in Subcase 1.2.

Starting from Fig. 5-(i) and applying the *face traversal procedure*, we find the facial walks $v_1fv_3ev_1bv_2cv_1f$ and $v_1av_2dv_1a$, which together with Scheme (7) define the faces F_5 , F_6 and F_4 respectively. Reasoning as in Subcase 1.1, we arrive at the plane realizations of $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}^*$ as depicted in Fig.6-(i). In the case of Fig.5-(ii) the facial walks $v_1dv_2av_1bv_2cv_1d$ and $v_1ev_3fv_1e$, together with Scheme (7), define the faces F_5 , F_6 and F_4 respectively. Reasoning as in Subcase 1.1, we obtain the plane representations for $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}^*$ as depicted in Fig.6-(ii).


Note that both graphs $\mathcal{G}$ in Fig.6 are *self dual* (cf. footnote 7 or note that $\delta(\mathcal{G}) = \delta(\mathcal{G}^*)$, and use Lemma 3.5 in [2]), but-by inspection of their rotation systems-are *not equivalent* (cf. Subsection 1.5).

Ad Case 2: Because the Π -walk of F_4 has no loops and consists of an Euler trail on the five



$$\delta(\mathcal{G}) = (6, 4, 2) = \delta(\mathcal{G}^*)$$

(i)



$$\delta(\mathcal{G}) = (6, 4, 2) = \delta(\mathcal{G}^*)$$

(ii)

Figure 6: The two possible plane representations for $\mathcal{G}$, $\mathcal{G}^*$ in Subcase 1.2.

edges of $\mathcal{G}$, there is only one- up to relabeling - possibility for w_{F_4} (see Fig.7-(i)):

$$w_{F_4} : v_1 a v_3 b v_2 c v_1 d v_2 e v_1 a.$$

In contradistinction with the previous Case 1, now there is one edge, namely f , that is not contained in w_{F_4} . By Lemma 2.1, this edge must connect either v_1 to v_2 ($f : v_1 \leftrightarrow v_2$), or v_1 to v_3 ($f : v_1 \leftrightarrow v_3$), or v_2 to v_3 ($f : v_2 \leftrightarrow v_3$); compare Fig. 7-(ii) where we show the part of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{G})$ that is determined by ∂F_4 . We focus on the first two sub cases.

Taking into account the various positions of f with respect to local sectors of F_4 at v_1 and v_2 (when $f : v_1 \leftrightarrow v_2$), respectively v_1 and v_3 (when $f : v_1 \leftrightarrow v_3$), we find *four* respectively *two* possibilities for the rotation systems; see Fig.8. The Subcases $f : v_1 \leftrightarrow v_3$ and $f : v_2 \leftrightarrow v_3$ are not basically different¹¹. So, we may neglect the case $f : v_2 \leftrightarrow v_3$. Reasoning as in Case 1, the rotation systems in Fig.8 yield the possible planar representations of $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}^*$; see Fig.9. Note that- by inspection of their rotation systems -all graphs $\mathcal{G}$ in this figure are different under *orientation preserving isomorphisms*, whereas *only* in the cases of Fig.9(iii) and (iv) these graphs are equal w.r.t. an *orientation reversing isomorphism* (apply the relabeling introduced in Footnote 11). Apparently, the graphs $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}^*$ (and thus also $\mathcal{G}^*$ and $\mathcal{G}$) in Fig.9(i), resp. Fig.9(v) are equal (under an orientation preserving isomorphism) The graphs $\mathcal{G}$ in Fig. 9 (ii)-(iv),(vi) are *self-dual*.

Ad Case 3: Without loss of generality, there are a priori *two* possibilities for the Π -walks of an arbitrary face, say F_4 ; see Fig.10(i) and (ii). By Lemma 2.1 and by inspection of the

¹¹ Relabeling $v_1 \leftrightarrow v_2$, $a \leftrightarrow b$ and $c \leftrightarrow e$, transforms the two configurations in Fig.8(v) and (vi) into configurations that generate (anti-clockwise oriented) rotation systems describing the case $f : v_2 \leftrightarrow v_3$.




Figure 7: The II-walk for F_4 in Case 2.




Figure 8: The possible rotation systems for $\mathcal{G}$ in Case 2.




Figure 9: The graphs $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}^*$ in Case 2.

corresponding partial graph $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{G})$, the first possibility is ruled out. So, we focus on Fig. 10(ii). Recall that two facial sectors at the same vertex v_i are separated by facial sectors (at v_i) *not* belonging to F_4 and that in the actual case we have $\delta = \delta^* = (4, 4, 4)$. So, we find the rotation systems and the distribution of “local facial sectors” as depicted in Fig. 10(ii), where the roles of both e , f and F_5 , F_6 may be interchanged. Now, by the face traversal procedure we find:

Apart from relabeling and equivalency, there is only one (self dual) graph possible, Fig. 11.




Figure 10: Apriori possibilities for w_{F_4} in Case 3.




Figure 11: The only possible graphs $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}^*$ in Case 3.

Now the representation result from Subsection 1.3 and Remark 1.1, together with the above analysis of the 3^{rd} order Newton graphs yields:

Theorem 2.2. (*Classification of third order Newton graphs*)

- *Apart from conjugacy and duality, there are precisely nine possibilities for the 3^{rd} order structurally stable Elliptic Newton flows. These possibilities are characterized by the Newton graphs in Fig.12.*
- *If we add to Fig.12 the duals of the graphs in Fig.12 (i), (ii), (v), we obtain a classification under merely conjugacy, containing twelve different possibilities.*




Figure 12: The graphs characterizing structurally stable elliptic Newton flows of order 3.

Remark 2.3. The Case $r = 2$.

By similar (even easier) arguments as used in the above Case $r = 3$, it can be proved that -up to conjugacy- there is only one (self-dual) possibility for the 2^{nd} order Newton graphs; see Fig.13 (Note that in view of the *E-property* both facial walks of such graphs have length 4, whereas the role of the *A-property* is not relevant, see Subsection 1.4). For a different approach, see Corollary 2.13 in [2].




Figure 13: The 2^{nd} order Newton graphs.

Remark 2.4. In case of degenerate elliptic functions, it is possible to describe the corresponding Newton flows by so-called pseudo Newton graphs, see our forthcoming paper [3].

References

- [1] Helminck, G.F., Twilt, F.: *Newton flows for elliptic functions I, Structural stability: Characterization & Genericity*, submitted.
- [2] Helminck, G.F., Twilt, F.: *Newton flows for elliptic functions II, Structural stability: Classification & Representation*, submitted.
- [3] Helminck, G.F., Twilt, F.: *Newton flows for elliptic functions IV, Pseudo Newton graphs: bifurcation and creation of flows*, in preparation.
- [4] Mohar, B.; Thomassen, C.: *Graphs on surfaces*. John Hopkins Studies in the Mathematical Sciences. John Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, MD, 2001.
- [5] Peixoto, M.M.: *On the classification of flows on 2-manifolds*. In: *Dynamical Systems*, M.M. Peixoto, ed., pp. 389-419, Acad. Press, New York (1973) .